



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/029,686	12/21/2001	Herbert V. Joiner	NAI1P065/01.307.01	3317
28875 7:	590 07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
SILICON VA	LLEY INTELLECTUA	SON, LINH L D		
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT PAPER NU	
SAN 103E, CA 93172-1120			2135	8
		DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	plication No Applicant(s)					
		10/029,68	6	JOINER, HERBERT V.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Linh LD So	n	2135				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will ll, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 21 December 20	<u>001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)[\square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	acumente have had	a rocaived					
	 Certified copies of the priority d Certified copies of the priority d 			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				Stage			
	application from the Internation	• •			2.090			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	·		d.				
Attachmen	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	-			
. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date 🕰 ᢃ	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)			
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summar	у	Part of Paper No	o./Mail Date 8			

Application/Control Number: 10/029,686

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

For IDS (paper #2), the IDS fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98 (a)(11), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other info submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the info therein has not been considered.

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drake et al, hereinafter "Drake", (US/6347374A1).
- 3. As per claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 11, 13, 16, 18, and 21, Drake discloses the "Event Detection" invention, which includes a method for analyzing a network, scanning the network, and detecting intrusions in the network. The system comprises:
 Collector (agent), Parsers, Generic File Transfer Utility (GFTU), Inserter,
 Database, Expert System Engines (ESG) (Host Controller), and
 Manager/configuration GUI (Zone Controller) (See Fig 1). The collector is an agent running on computers on the network and there are different collectors associated to the applications monitoring (Col 9 lines 53-59). GFTU, locating on the client computer, sends data files, such as log files or other files depending on

the application to the Parser (Col 9 line 65 to Col 10 line 4). The Parser is located on the remote network collecting the data files, parses, and then passes the data files in Virtual Record format readable by the ESG to the Inserter (Col 7 lines 38-54, and Col 10 lines 21-32). The Inserter stores the records in the database. The ESG has many functions or controllers, such as deriving database information to detect events, Hard-Coded processor, Execution arraybased processors, and Rule-based interpreters (Col 11 lines 7-17, line 52 to Col 13 line 67). ESG utilizes the controllers above to analyze and detect intrusion (Col 7 line 51, and Col 11 line 53 Col 12 line 67), and creates events model and report for the network (Col 15 lines 59-62). The Manager/configuration GUI takes all the output data from ESG and generates reports or statistical data accordingly (Col 17 lines 1-24). The Manager/Configuration GUI also has admin capability to configure rule-based triggers to the event. However, Drake does not teach the Zone Controller specifically. Nevertheless, Drake teaches the ESG, which has the HC and ZC functionalities as claimed and part is in the Manager/Configuration GUI (See above citing). Therefore, it is obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to separate both components to minimize the processing time and load.

4. As per claims 4, 9, 14, and 19, Drake discloses the system as recited in claim 1, wherein the host controllers and the zone controllers operate based on business rules (Col 17 lines 15-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/029,686

Art Unit: 2135

- 5. As per claims 5, 10, 15, and 20, Drake and disclose the system as recited in claim 1, wherein the business rules are user-configurable (Col 17 lines 15-24).
- 6. As per claim 22, claim 1 rejection basis is applied. Further, Drake discloses a method to configure and identifying the business rules applicable to the network users and services (Col 5 lines 36-60 and Col 17 lines 1-24).
- 7. Claims 2, 7, 12, 17, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drake et al, hereinafter "Drake", (US/6347374A1) in view of Eschelbeck (US/6553378B1).
- 8. As per claims 2, 7, 12, and 17, Drake discloses the system as recited in claim 1. However, Drake does not teach the host controllers are further capable of cyber cop services. Nevertheless, Eschelbeck discloses the "System and process for reporting network events with a plurality of hierarchically-structured databases in a distributed computing environment" invention, which teaches a method of analyzing, detecting, and response to a network node anomaly, such as intrusion, virus attack, and network attack (See Fig. 2). The system includes agents, event detectors and analyzer, and root snap-in agent. The event responding includes forwarding a snap-in component to control the anomaly (Col 7 lines 52-63 and Col 10 line 34 to Col 12 line 8). One of the snap-in

Application/Control Number: 10/029,686

Art Unit: 2135

components is the cyber cop service (Eschelbeck, Col 5 line 34). Therefore, it is obvious at the time of the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching to resolve the problem in the network.

9. As per claims 23 and 24, Claim 1 rejection is incorporated. However, Drake does not teach the anti-virus services. Nevertheless, Eschelbeck teaches the implementation of the anti-virus services (Col 7 lines 1-13). Therefore, it is obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the service to check the data integrity in the network.

Conclusion

- 1. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Linh Son whose telephone number is (703)-305-8914 or Fax to 703-746-9821.
- 2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Kim Y. Vu can be reached at (703)-305-4393. The fax numbers for this group are (703)-872-9306 (official fax). Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-9600.

Linh LD Son

Patent Examiner

ASL 6 A42135

Page 5